

---

**ACCOUNTING**

**9706/33**

Paper 3 Structured Questions

**May/June 2016**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 150

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	33

- 1 (a) Capital is the amount invested by owners in a trading organisation. (1) Accumulated fund is the surplus that builds up over a number of years in a club or society. (1) [2]

(b)

Pavey Sports & Social Club – Income and expenditure account for the year ended 31 March 2016.

	\$		\$
Subscriptions (W1)		35 000	(3)of
Life membership		1 250	(1)
Restaurant profit (W2)		<u>4 660</u>	(5)
		40 910	
Administrative expenses (W3)	4 900	(2)	
Depreciation (W4)	<u>9 460</u>	(2)	
Surplus		<u>(14 360)</u>	
		<u>26 550</u>	(1)of

W1 Subscriptions account

Balance b/d	1 000 *	Balance b/d	400 *
Income and expenditure a/c	35 000	Bank	34 000 (1)
Balance c/d	<u>300 *</u>	Balance c/d	<u>1 900 (1)</u>
	<u>36 300</u>		<u>36 300</u>

W2 Restaurant profit:  $17\ 450 - (6950 - 845 + 955) - (5450 + 280)$

W3 Administrative expenses  $4750 + 350 (1) - 200 (1)$

W4 Depreciation:  $2560 (1) + 6900 (1) = 9460$

\* (1) for all three.

[14]

- (c) Variable amount received  
Not part of regular income  
Maybe allocated to specific projects in the future

Any 2 points 1 mark each.

[2]

- (d) (i) Sponsorship  
Use funds from bank account as well as another source of finance.  
Debentures

2 marks for each comparison point.

[4]

- (ii) 1 mark for decision and 2 marks for justification of the decision based on (d)(i).

[3]

[Total: 25]

<b>Page 3</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>33</b>

2 (a) Ahmed and Bashmir  
Memorandum Joint Venture account

	\$	\$	
Revenue (38 000 + 55 500)		93 500	(1)
Returns inwards		<u>4 500</u>	(1)
		89 000	
Purchases (24 500 + 17 600)	42 100		(1)
Closing inventory	<u>6 500</u>		(1)
		<u>35 600</u>	
Gross profit		53 400	
Other income			
Commissions received		1 000	(1)
Discount received		<u>600</u>	(1)
		55 000	
Expenses (3 200 + 2 300)	5 500		(1)
Irrecoverable debts	<u>300</u>		(1)
		<u>5 800</u>	
Profit		<u>49 200</u>	
Ahmed (2/3)		32 800	} 1 of both
Bashmir (1/3)		<u>16 400</u>	
		<u>49 200</u>	

[9]

(b) Books of Ahmed  
Joint venture with Bashmir account

Purchases – credit	24 500	(1)	Revenue – cash	6 000	} 1 both
Returns inwards	4 500	(1)	– credit	32 000	
Expenses	3 200	(1)	Commissions	1 000	(1)
Profit and loss	32 800	(1)OF	Discount received	500	(1)
	<u>65 000</u>		Balance c/d	<u>25 500</u>	
Balance b/d	25 500	(1)OF		<u>65 000</u>	

[8]

(c) The balance due from Bashmir would be shown as a current asset under other receivables.  
(1OF) [1]

(d) (i) \$  
49 200 (1) OF  
12 500 }  
(6 500) } (1) both  
55 200 (1) OF

Accept alternative answers

[3]

(ii) \$  
12 500  
(6 500)  
6 000 × (2/3) = \$4000 (1)

[1]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	33

(e) Yes or No (1)

**Max 1 for decision**

Reasons for Yes

Made a profit

More customers or business

More experience

**Max 2 for reasons**

**OR**

Reasons for No

Tarnish the reputation

Poor choice of business associate

**Max 2 for reasons**

[3]

**Accept other valid answers.**

[Total 25]

3 (a)

Disposal of machinery account

2015		\$	2015	\$	
Jun 1	Machinery (W1)	24 000 (2)	Jun 1	Provision for depreciation of machinery (W2)	19 200 (2)
					<b>OF</b>
Dec 31	Income statement	<u>13 000</u> (1)	Bank	<u>17 800</u>	(1)
		<u>37 000</u>		<u>37 000</u>	
					<b>[6]</b>

W1  $[(17\,800 - 13\,000) / 2] (1) \times 10$

W2  $24\,000 \times 10\% (1) \times 8$

(b)

	Property \$	Plant and machinery \$	Delivery vans \$	Total \$	
Cost					
At 1 January 2015	200 000	258 000	23 000	481 000	
Additions		76 000 (1of)		76 000	
Disposals		(24 000) (1of)		(24 000)	
At 31 December 2015	<u>200 000</u>	<u>310 000</u>	<u>23 000</u>	<u>533 000</u>	
Depreciation					
At 1 January 2015	17 000	210 000	10 000	237 000	
Charge for year	1 000 (1)	31 000 (1)	3 250 (1)	35 250	
Eliminated on disposals		(19 200) (1of)		(19 200)	
At 31 December 2015	<u>18 000</u>	<u>221 800</u>	<u>13 250</u>	<u>253 050</u>	
Net book value					
At 31 December 2015	<u>182 000</u>	<u>88 200</u>	<u>9 750</u>	<u>279 950</u>	(1of) row
At 31 December 2014	<u>183 000</u>	<u>48 000</u>	<u>13 000</u>	<u>244 000</u>	(1) row

[8]

<b>Page 5</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>33</b>

- (c) Matches costs with revenue generated by the assets (1)  
 Non-current assets are not overvalued (1)  
 Profit is not overstated. (1)
- (d) Correct return would be (62 000 – 39 000 – 3 000) (1) less depreciation 12 000 (1) = 8 000 (1)  
 Hence rate of return  $8000/120\,000 \times 100\% = 6.67\%$  (1of)

Since this is less than the existing ROCE the proposal would not increase ROCE. (1)  
 The ROCE calculation uses profit before interest but if debenture interest (\$9 600) (1) is included then there is a loss/negative return (1).

However it may be necessary anyway to replace the machinery because of its age (1) as spare parts may no longer be available (1) and the machinery may be impossible to repair (1). The productivity of the machinery may fall further with time and therefore the balance between costs and revenues would change. (1)

**Max 4 for calculations**

**Max 4 for comments**

**[8]**

**[Total: 25]**

<b>Page 6</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>33</b>

4 (a) (i)  $\frac{\text{Interest}}{\text{Profit before interest and tax}} \times 100\%$

W  $\frac{300}{1720} \times 100\% = 17.44\% \text{ (1)}$

R  $\frac{180}{1576} \times 100\% = 11.42\% \text{ (1)}$

(ii)  $\frac{\text{Net profit}}{\text{No. of shares}}$

W  $\frac{1103}{4500} = \$0.25 \text{ (1)}$

R  $\frac{1084}{2500} = \$0.43 \text{ (1)}$

(iii) W  $3.50 / 0.25 = 14 \text{ (1)}$

R  $2.75 / 0.43 = 6.40 \text{ (1)}$

(iv)  $\frac{\text{Dividend paid \& proposed}}{\text{Market price per share}} \times 100\%$

W  $\frac{0.20}{3.50} \times 100\% = 5.71\% \text{ (1)}$

R  $\frac{0.35}{2.75} \times 100\% = 12.73\% \text{ (1)}$

(v)  $\frac{\text{Profit available for dividend}}{\text{Dividend paid and proposed}}$

W  $\frac{1103}{900} = 1.23 \text{ times (1)}$

R  $\frac{1084}{875} = 1.24 \text{ times (1)}$

**[10]**

<b>Page 7</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>33</b>

**(b)** Both companies have a lower income gearing **(1)** than the industry average so there should be no concerns with regard to interest payments **(1)**.

The earnings per share of Ramsey is higher than the industry average **(1)** while that of Winterbottom is lower so Winterbottom's performance may be a concern **(1)**.

The dividend yield of Winterbottom is much lower **(1)** than the industry average while that of Ramsey is higher **(1)** so an investor who seeks short term income would favour Ramsey **(1)**. The dividend cover of both companies is slightly higher than the industry average **(1)** so although apparently low there should not be major concerns **(1)**.

Ramsey has a lower PE ratio than industry average **(1)** but PE ratio for Winterbottom is higher which is better **(1)**.

**[Max 10]**

**[10]**

**(c)** Investment advice **(1)** of.  
**(4)** of justification marks.

**[5]**

**[Total: 25]**

- 5 (a) Total labour hours are 1875 standard and 750 superior = 2625 labour hours (1)  
 OAR = 42 000 / 2625 = \$16 per hour (1) [2]

(b) (i)

	Standard \$	Superior \$	
Direct materials $22\,500 \times 5.5$	123 750		} (1)
$9\,000 \times 8.5$		76 500	
Direct labour $1\,875 \times 10$	18 750		} (1)
$750 \times 10$		7 500	
Overheads $1\,875 \times 16$	30 000		} (1)
$750 \times 16$		<u>12 000</u>	
Costs	<u>172 500</u>	<u>96 000</u>	(1of)

[4]

(ii)

Standard $224\,250 / 22\,500 = \$9.97$ (1of)	Superior $124\,800 / 9\,000 = \$13.87$ (1of)
---	---

[2]

(c) (i)

	Standard \$	Superior \$	
Direct materials	123 750		} (1of)
		76 500	
Direct labour	18 750		} (1)
		7 500	
Direct expenses	7 200	11 700	} (1)
Overheads $1\,875 \times 8.8$	16 500		
$750 \times 8.8$		<u>6 600</u>	} (1of)
Costs	<u>166 200</u>	<u>102 300</u>	

[4]

(ii)

Number of sweatshirts	<u>49 860</u>	<u>30 690</u>	
New sales value	216 060	132 990	(1of)
Selling price per unit	9.60	14.78	(1of)

[2]

(iii) Change in selling price:

Decrease in Standard \$0.37 (1) OF
Increase in Superior \$0.91 (1) OF

[2]

- (d) Activity based costing uses cost drivers and cost pools whereas, absorption costing uses direct labour hours or machine hours

Activity based costing is expensive to set up whereas, absorption costing is easy to set up  
 Activity based costing is more realistic than absorption costing.  
 Absorption costing is more easily understood than activity based costing.

Any three points of comparison 2 marks each.

[6]



<b>Page 9</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>33</b>

- (e) The change in selling price is not significant in either case. However, the reduction in the selling price of Standard (1) may increase the number of units sold and vice versa for Superior (1)

**1 mark for decision**

**Max 2 for comments**

**[3]**

**[Total: 25]**

- 6 (a) Payback does not consider the time value of money (1) whereas net present value does (1) payback calculates the time it takes to cover the initial cost of the investment and does not consider the net cash flow after the payback period (1) Net present value considers the discounted cash flows for the whole life of the investment (1)

**[4]**

- (b) Net cash flows:

	unit	inflow	outflow	net	net cash flows
0				(300) (1)	
1	2600	45	24	21 (1)	54 600 (1)of*
2	4500	58.5	30	28.5 (1)	128 250 – 75 000 (1) = 53 250 (1)of*
3	5400	76.05	37.5	38.55 (1)	208 170 (1)of

\*for own figure net cash flows must be based on the correct number of units.

**[8]**

- (c) Pay back

2 years and  $192\ 150/208\ 170 \times 365$  days = 2 years (1) and 336.91 days (1)of

**[2]**

- (d)

	Net cash flow	DF	\$
0	300 000	1.000	(300 000) (1)
1	54 600	0.877	47 884.20 (1)of
2	53 250	0.769	40 949.25 (1)of
3	208 170	0.675	140 514.75 (1)of
		NPV (1)	(70 651.80) (1)of

**[6]**

- (e) (i) The net cash flow generated over the 3 years is \$16 020 (1). This cash can be put to other uses within the business (1).  
Production levels have increased up to 5400 from 4000 (1).  
This means that the business can increase its market (1) and potentially its profit (1) max

**[3]**

- (ii) The managers of Artem Ltd should not purchase the machine (1) as the net present value is negative. (1) This means that the discounted net cash flows do not cover the cost of investment (1) and the present values generated are not enough to cover the initial cost of the investment. (1) max

**[2]**

**Accept other valid points.**

**[1 mark decision]**

**[Max 1 mark justification]**

**[Total: 25]**